

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION
SPECIAL OPEN MEETING
Monday, December 16, 2019
Chicago, Illinois

Met pursuant to notice at 10:30 A.M.,
at 160 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois.

PRESENT:

- CARRIE ZALEWSKI, Chairwoman
- MARIA BOCANEGRA, Commissioner
- BRIEN J. SHEAHAN, Commissioner
- SADZI M. OLIVA, Commissioner
- D. ETHAN KIMBREL, Commissioner

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Carla L. Camiliere, CSR
License No. 084-003637

1 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Good morning.

2 Are we ready to proceed in

3 Springfield?

4 CHIEF CLERK: Yes.

5 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Under the Open Meetings Act,

6 I call the December 16, 2019 Special Open Meeting to

7 order.

8 Commissioners Bocanegra, Kimbrel,

9 Oliva, Sheahan are with me in Chicago. We have a

10 quorum.

11 We have no requests to speak. We have

12 no transportation items on this agenda.

13 We will move onto our public utilities

14 agenda.

15 Item No. 1 on the agenda is the

16 approval of the November 14, 2019 Regular Open

17 Meeting minutes.

18 Item No. 2 is the approval of the

19 November 26, 2019 Regular Open Meeting minutes.

20 There are edits to both minutes.

21 Are there any objections to approving

22 the minutes as edited?

1 (No response.)

2 Hearing none, the minutes are
3 approved.

4 Item 3, concerns Docket 19-4036 which
5 is Ameren's rate MAP-P Modernization Action Plan, the
6 Annual Formal Rate Update and Revenue Requirement
7 Reconciliation. The Order approves the update and
8 reconciliation based on the adjustments indicated in
9 the Order and its appendices.

10 We have non-substantive edits to the
11 Order proposed by my office. The edits clarify that
12 the Commission is not persuaded by the AG and
13 CUB/IIEC argument to adopt a short period for excess
14 deferred income taxes amortization.

15 The edits note that: The proposals
16 provide no specific methodology or persuasive
17 explanation of how this approach will benefit all
18 customers, but rather, tend to prioritize certain
19 customers over others in terms of receiving a refund.

20 While the Commission agrees with the
21 AG and CUB/IIEC that the EDIT balances shall be
22 returned to the customers in a manner that benefits

1 the customers, the Commission is not persuaded that
2 the AG proposed five-year amortization period or the
3 CUB/IIEC proposed seven-year period will provide such
4 benefits as compared to the period derived from the
5 commonly accepted ARAM methodology that aligns the
6 refund with the remaining useful life of the assets.

7 The EDIT finds the AG and the CUB IIEC
8 argument that a shorter amortization period will
9 ensure that the same customers that funded the EDIT
10 balances will enjoy the return is not supported by
11 the evidence.

12 As noted, the Commission is tasked
13 with balancing interest of all customers in the short
14 term and long term and must ensure that the EDIT
15 balances are refunded in a manner that is equitable
16 and benefits all customers.

17 I, thus, move the proposed edits.

18 Is there a second?

19 COMMISSIONER OLIVA: Second.

20 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Any discussion?

21 (No response.)

22 Are there any objections to approving

1 the edits?

2 (No response.)

3 Hearing none, the edits are approved.

4 Are there any other objections to
5 approving the Order as edited?

6 (No response.)

7 Hearing none, the order is approved.

8 Item 4 concerns administrative matters
9 approving amendments to the employment contract with
10 the Executive Director of the Commission regarding
11 time reporting.

12 Are there any objections to approving
13 the amendments?

14 (No response.)

15 Hearing none, the amendments are
16 approved.

17 Item 5, concerns authorization for the
18 Executive Director to execute a Memorandum of
19 Understanding with respect to the Commission's
20 contract with the procurement monitor for the
21 procurement of electricity and capacity, as well as
22 to fulfill the States' Renewable Portfolio Standard,

1 Zero Emissions Standard and the Clean Coal Portfolio
2 Standard requirements

3 Are there any objections to
4 authorizing the excursion of the Memorandum of
5 Understanding?

6 (No response.)

7 Hearing none, the execution of the
8 memorandum is authorized.

9 This concludes our agenda.

10 Judge Teague Kingsley, do we have any
11 other matters to come before the Commission today?

12 JUDGE TEAGUE KINGSLEY: No, Chairman.

13 (No response.)

14 CHAIRMAN ZALEWSKI: Do any of the commissioners
15 have any business to discuss?

16 (No response.)

17 Hearing none, without objections, this
18 meeting is adjourned.

19 Thank you.

20

21

22